

TRANSPORTATION NEWSLETTER

DATE: November 2021

Vince Rogalski, Chairman

Gunnison Valley TPR

vrogal@montrose.net

STAC Report

Multimodal and Mitigations Options Fund (MMOF) – Michael Snow, CDOT Transportation Planner, DTD

• SB21-260 expanded the role and purpose of MMOF to include Disadvantaged (DI) communities. This change

prompted a reexamination of the formula for distributing the funding to MPOs/TPRs. At the same time, the match reduction formula has been reconsidered. Statute requires the distribution formula be developed in consultation with STAC, TRAC, transit, bike/ped and other advocacy groups. The MMOF Advisory Committee

formed in 2019 reconvened to consider changes to both the distribution and match reduction formulas.

• The Advisory Committee recommended keeping the 81%/19% funding split between urban and rural areas. On

the rural area formula, the group eliminated unlinked passenger trips and shifted weighting to the DI Community, disabled, and aged 65+ criteria. The urban area formula recommended is generally unchanged from

the existing one, which retains unlinked passenger trips, thereby omitting consideration of disabled individuals

and individuals aged 65+. Staff also presented the many other variables considered for funding distribution.

• The MMOF Advisory Committee recommended a new match formula that uses factors considered more reflective of an entity's fiscal health: median household income, median home value, poverty, and individuals

aged 65+. This new formula reduces the number of match rates to three, while moderately increasing the number of applicants eligible for a match reduction relative to the current formula.

 The Transit & Rail Advisory Committee (TRAC) made no additional distribution formula recommendations, but

advised that it be revisited when new 2020 Census data or other updated data becomes available. TRAC also

urged MPOs/TPRs to make multi-year commitments to enable new transit services.

• FY21 state revenues were very strong. The resulting excess revenues above the TABOR cap means MMOF will

receive an additional \$108.1 million in June 2022. MPOs/TPRs may therefore consider project selections for both

the federal ARPA funds and these state funds.

STAC Discussion

• There was concern for the urban areas' exclusion of disabled and individuals age >=65. One explanation from an

urban representative was that there are already many factors considering population.

TO: TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

FROM: REBECCA WHITE, DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF TRANSPORTATION

DEVELOPMENT

MICHAEL SNOW, TRANSPORTATION PLANNING SPECIALIST

DATE: NOVEMBER 17, 2021

SUBJECT: MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION & MITIGATION OPTIONS FUND,

DISTRIBUTION & MATCH FORMULA

Purpose

To review and consider recommended changes to the formula for distribution of Local Multimodal Transportation &

Mitigation Options Fund (MMOF) funding to the Planning Regions, and changes to the MMOF Match Reduction Formula.

Action

The TC is requested to consider the Distribution Formula recommendations from STAC, TRAC and the MMOF Advisory

Committee. The TC is also requested to review STAC's and the Advisory Committee's proposed changes to the MMOF Match

Reduction Formula.

Background – Distribution Formula

With the passage of Senate Bill 2021-260, the MMOF program saw fundamental changes to expand its overall purpose and provide long-term funding for related projects. In addition to expanded eligibility for projects that mitigate transportation related emissions of Greenhouse Gases (GHG), it also added a specific program goal to expand the choices and accessibility

to alternative modes of transportation for Colorado's Disproportionately Impacted Communities.

Considering these programmatic changes to MMOF, CDOT & STAC initiated modifications to the adopted Local MMOF Funding Distribution Formula to align it more closely with the expanded goals defined in the program. Statutes require that

the distribution formula for Local MMOF funding be adopted by the Transportation Commission (TC) in consultation with STAC, TRAC, transit advocacy organizations and bicycle and pedestrian advocacy organizations.

To support this process, an MMOF Advisory Committee, originally formed in 2019 and comprised of representatives of these organizations, reconvened on October 5 and again on October 13, 2021, to consider updates to the Local MMOF Distribution Formula. A full summary of the Committee's

recommendations is provided in Attachment A. Subsequently, on November 5, the TRAC had the opportunity to make additional formula recommendations to consider, which are included in Attachment B. STAC reviewed the Committee's and TRAC's recommendations on November 12, and has provided its ormula recommendations. The combined Committee, TRAC and STAC recommendations will be reviewed with TC and are outlined in the accompanying presentation included in the meeting materials.

Background – Match Reduction Formula

CDOT Staff have also taken this opportunity to consider some lessons-learned by both the sponsors of local MMOF projects and by CDOT in administering the MMOF program to address shortfalls in the existing MMOF Match Reduction Policy, to find ways to streamline the use of its funds and simplify administrative challenges.

CDOT staff presented the MMOF Advisory Committee with several options for an updated Match Reduction Formula using alternate criteria recommended by the State Demographer in place of those in the current formula. The updated match formula options simplify administrative issues by granting automatic reduction to towns and counties, using fewer match rates, and ensures match reductions to the most fiscally disadvantaged local governments. The Committee's and Staff's recommendations are also included in the Attachment A, along with the detailed listing of the resulting match rates that would be required of each County and Municipality under the recommended formula.

Recommendations

CDOT recommends the TC review the combined recommendations of STAC, TRAC, the MMOF Advisory Committee and CDOT Staff and recommend final MMOF Distribution and Match Reduction Formula updates.

Next Steps

The TC's recommendations resulting from today's discussion will be combined by Staff into final draft Distribution and the Match Reduction Formula formulas. STAC will have another opportunity to comment in December, prior to the TC's consideration for final adoption of both on December 15, 2021.

Attached below is a chart that reflects the DRAFT distribution of the Federal portion effective in FY 22. Additional State funding will be available FY 23



MMOF Funding Updates

- FY2021 State Revenues were considerably stronger than anticipated, and as a result...
- The \$108.1M Excess State Revenues originally projected to transfer to MMOF over 3-5 years will ALL be transferred June 30, 2022
- MPOs/TPRs may select projects for these funds alongside the federal funding project selections.

Currently Available	State MMOF		Local MMOF		Local Admin (2%)	
Federal (FY22)	\$	22,026,000	\$	124,814,000	\$	· ·
State (FY23)	\$	16,215,000	\$	87,551,020	\$	4,333,980
TOTAL	\$	38,241,000	\$	212,365,020	\$	4,333,980

 Staff recommend the Admin Set-aside rate be reduced from 5% to 2% and will be withheld from the FY23 state funds The chart below in the Federal portion. Note the Gunnison Valley Transportation Planning Region is \$\$3,595,215.00, for the Federal part, if you add the estimated State portion of \$2,516,650.00. Remember the State portion this is an estimate of funds produced by SB-260 thus available in FY 23. This produces an estimated \$6,111,865.00 for GVTPR.

TC's consideration for final adoption is on December 15, 2021.

Local MMOF Funding Distribution Formula MMOF Advisory Committee Recommendation FIGURE A October 13, 2021 Disabled Revenue Unlinked Bike Ped Zero Aged Pop Pop DI Pop Pop Pop 65+ Miles Trips Jobs Crashes Crashes vehicle 2019 (5-17)(2019)(2019)(2019)(2019)(2019)(2016)(2015-19)(2015-19)Allocation Pikes Peak Area 12.3% 13.19 2 6% 11.7% 13.8% 11.2% 10.09 9.79 5.59 10.6% 8 90% \$11,107,521 Denver Area 57.7% 58.1% 58.2% 50.4% 52.8% 69.4% 78.9% 64.3% 65.9% 70.1% 63.0% 60.04% \$74,937,493 Urbar North Front Range 8.9% 7.2% 8.2% 8.7% 3.9% 13.6% 8.99 4.19 8.0% 7.09 7.5% 7.289 \$9,085,174 (81%)Pueblo Area 3.0% 3.0% 4.8% 5.4% 3.9% 1.3% 0.6% 2.39 2.2% \$3,248,160 3 3% 4 4% 2 60% Grand Valley 3.6% 1.0% 2.7% 2.6% 2.4% 3.9% 0.6% 2.6% 4.0% 2.2% 2.6% 2.189 \$2,720,993 Eastern 1.5% 1.59 1.1% 1.7% 1.9% 0.1% 0.0% 1.0% 0.2% 0.3% 1.3% 1.50% \$1,874,875 Southeast 0.8% 0.8% 1.5% 1.1% 0.3% 0.1% 1.6% 0.69 0.1% 0.2% 1.4% 1.26% \$1,572,108 San Luis Valley 1.2% 1.19 1.8% 2.0% 1.7% 0.1% 0.0% 0.9% 0.5% \$2,054,501 0.3% 1 7% 1 65% 1.8% 2.5% 1.9% 3.5% Gunnison Valley 1.6% 2.9% 5 99 1.49 1.1% 0.8% 1.7% 2.88% \$3,595,215 Rural Southwest 1.79 1.59 1.4% 2.0% 2.4% 0.9% 0.3% 1.6% 0.8% 0.9% 0.8% 1.86% \$2,322,449 3.0% 2.99 3.4% 1.9% 2.8% 2.09 (19%)Intermountain 9.49 7.9% 3.59 2.1% 1.6% 3.95% \$4,931,343 1.1% 1.0% 0.8% 0.8% 1.2% 1.1% 1.2% 1.1% 0.6% Northwest 0.4% 0.8% 1.149 \$1,418,704 Upper Front Range 2.0% 2.09 1.7% 2.4% 2.4% 0.7% 0.1% 1.49 0.6% 0.8% 1.3% 2.11% \$2,629,413 2.7% Central Front Range 1.8% 1.49 1.4% 2.6% 0.3% 0.1% 1.0% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 1.99% \$2,489,986 South Central 0.4% 0.39 0.7% 0.7% 0.8% 0.2% 0.0% 0.1% 0.2% 1.0% \$826,067 0.669 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Tota \$124,814,000 Urban Formula wi 10.0% Rural Formula wt 15.0% 10.09 15.0% 10.0% 5.09 **POPULATIONS** Disadv. Pop. TRANSIT OTHER Urhan 30.0% 10% 20.0% 40.0% Rural 25.0% 45% 10.0% 20.0% Cur ent Urban Formula wt 20% Cu rent Rural Formula wt 20% 10% 25% 10% 5% 5% 10% 15%

Transportation TPR Meeting
NEXT MEETING NOTICE TPR meeting January 6, 2022
Virtual at 9am to Noon