



TRANSPORTATION NEWSLETTER

DATE: SEPTEMBER 2023

Vince Rogalski, Chairman

Gunnison Valley TPR

vrogal@montrose.net

HB1101 Boundary Review Study Update – Herman Stockinger, CDOT Deputy Director

- There are some CDOT staff observations related to boundaries that staff are currently considering, but none have yet resulted in specific recommendations.
- Several TPR governance considerations were noted related to term limits, rotations of chair and vice chair, how STAC chair and vice chair might rotate between rural and urban, having a TRAC member or other transit representative as a STAC member, and other changes to ensure one jurisdiction does not have more than one representative on the STAC. CDOT also noted that updates to governing documents and practices should be considered that would ensure full transparency.
- Other CDOT considerations pertained to finding additional TPR administrative funding, conducting TPR semi-annual meetings with TPR administrators, TPRs hosting and maintaining websites, and for CDOT to increase interface with TPRs, and to prepare Transportation 101 briefings.
- CDOT Boundary Considerations include:
 - Rural El Paso County to join PPACG vs. CFR TPR.
 - Merge SETPR with SCTPR? Or have SCTPR join PACOG? Should Chaffee County be represented in the Central Front Range TPR instead of the San Luis Valley TPR?
 - Splitting the Intermountain TPR into two TPRs.

- Discussion:
 - There was a request for CDOT to help guide new STAC members in their roles and responsibilities.
 - Some consider the study to be pressing for changes to something that is working for 99% of jurisdictions.
 - Several TPRs under consideration for boundary changes requested they be left as is.
- STAC Action: None

Program Distribution: MMOF Program – Marissa Gaughan, Multimodal Planning Branch Manager and Michael Snow, CDOT MMOF Program Manager

- It was noted that the potential TPR allocation for the next two year's combined funding to the South Central TPR would amount to just \$135,572, while CDOT's minimum allowed grant for infrastructure projects is \$150,000. STAC Discussion and Suggestions for the MMOF Formula
- It was recommended that the Urban-Rural split of 19% rural and 81% urban in the MMOF formula remain.
- No modifications were suggested to the Rural formula.
- Urban Formula criteria weighting:
 - It was agreed by most that there should not be a reduction in percentage related to bicycle crashes.
 - Several recommended increasing the weighting on pedestrian crashes, considering the significance of this current issue.
 - Many felt that weighting on the disabled population and populations 65+ criteria should be added.
 - Other suggested modifications included: reduce weighting on population and jobs as these are not transportation numbers; add a GHG criteria somehow; reduce or even invert the weighting on Transit ridership to direct funds to where transit is insufficient rather than to where it exists already.
 - STAC suggested the following new urban formula weighting and requested staff return next month with it actual results: Population: 20%; School Age Population: 5%; DI Community Percentage: 10%; Disabled Population: 10%; 65+ Population: 10%; Zero Vehicle Household: 5%; Bicycle Crashes: 10%; Pedestrian Crashes: 10%; Number of Jobs: 5%; Transit Revenue Miles: 0%; and Unlinked Transit Trips: 15%.
 - The administrative burden to CDOT and the locals was a voiced concern with the MMOF program.
 - It was questioned and clarified that the administrative set aside from previous years' funds is for CDOT program and project support, and not funding for the local agencies' project implementation costs.
 - Discussion will continue at the next STAC meeting in October

- STAC Action: None.

The next STAC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, October 5, 2023, at 8:30 am in-person at CDOT Headquarters at 2829 W. Howard Place, Denver

**Transportation TPR Meeting
NEXT MEETING NOTICE TPR meeting
November 9, 2023
In-Person
TIME TBD
REGION 10**